

Are Sticky Prices Costly? Evidence From the Stock Market

Gorodnichenko and Weber

Discussion by Francisco Palomino

BU/Boston Fed Conference, October 5, 2013

Contribution

- Average price rigidity at the firm level from confidential BLS PPI data.
 - Significant heterogeneity in price rigidity within and across industries.
- Empirical analysis linking stock returns, price rigidities, and policy shocks.
 - Indirect measure of the cost of price rigidities using stock market information.
- NK theoretical motivation - intuition:
 - Stock returns of more sticky-price firms are more sensitive to policy shocks.
 - Why? Firms with higher price-setting costs tolerate larger fluctuations in profits.

Contribution

- Identification of policy shocks from Fed Funds futures, Kuttner (2001).
- Identification of returns from a narrow time window.
- Regression:

$$R_{it}^2 = b_0 + b_1 \times v_t^2 + b_2 \times v_t^2 \times \lambda_i + b_3 \times \lambda_i + \text{controls}_{i,t} + \text{error}_{i,t}.$$

$$b_1 > 0$$

$$b_2 < 0$$

$$b_3 \approx 0$$

- Diverse set of robustness tests.

Intuition

- NK model:

$$\exp(-i_t) = \mathbb{E}_t[M_{t,t+1}] = \mathbb{E}_t[\exp(\log \beta - \gamma \Delta x_{t+1} - \pi_{t+1})],$$

$$\pi_t = \kappa x_t + \beta \mathbb{E}_t[\pi_{t+1}],$$

$$i_t = \bar{i} + \iota_\pi \pi_t + v_t$$

$$x_t = \bar{x} - \frac{1}{\iota_\pi \kappa + \gamma} v_t, \quad r_t = -\log \beta + \frac{\gamma}{\iota_\pi \kappa + \gamma} v_t.$$

- Stocks:

$$S_t = \mathbb{E}_t \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{t,t+n} D_{t+n} \right] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}_t[D_{t+n}]}{(1 + r_{n,t} + \varphi_{n,t})^n},$$

$$1 + R_{t,t+\Delta t} = \frac{S_{t+\Delta t}}{S_t}.$$

Real Profits and Markups

Effect of policy shocks and price rigidity on profits depend on opposite output and markup effects.

- Real profits:

$$D_{i,t} = \frac{P_{i,t}}{P_t} Y_{i,t} - W_t N_{i,t} = \frac{P_{i,t}}{P_t} Y_{i,t} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu_{i,t}} \right).$$

- Output and markup gaps:

$$\frac{Y_t}{Y^f} = X_t, \quad \frac{\mu_t}{\mu^f} = X_t^{-(\omega+\gamma)}.$$

- Two sectors, *H*igh and *L*ow price rigidity:

$$\frac{P_{H,t} Y_{H,t}}{P_{L,t} Y_{L,t}} \propto \left(\frac{P_{H,t}}{P_{L,t}} \right)^{-(\eta-1)}, \quad \frac{\mu_{H,t}}{\mu_{L,t}} \propto \left(\frac{P_{H,t}}{P_{L,t}} \right)^{(\theta-1)}.$$

If output > markup effects $\Rightarrow E_t[R_{H,t+1}] > E_t[R_{L,t+1}]$.

Risk Premia

Policy shocks can generate time varying risk premia in expected stock returns.

$$S_t = \mathbb{E}_t \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{t,t+n} D_{t+n} \right] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}_t[D_{t+n}]}{(1 + r_{n,t} + \varphi_{n,t})^n}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{1,t} &= -\text{cov}_t(M_{t,t+1}, D_{t+1}) \\ &= -\text{cov}_t(M_{t,t+1}, Y_{t+1}) + \text{cov}_t\left(M_{t,t+1}, \frac{Y_{t+1}}{\mu_{t+1}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Additional Comments

- Data: control for labor share and/or price cost margin interacted with $\lambda_i \times v_t^2$.
- Model: Add regressions for simulated profits.
- Difference between expansionary and contractionary shocks?
 - Asymmetric downward/upward price rigidity.
- Are the results sensitive to the policy regime (v_π)?
- Are policy shocks a systematic source of risk?
 - $(R_{it} - \beta'_{i,F} R_{F,t})^2$
 - Firm vs. portfolio regressions
 - Time varying loadings $\beta_{i,t}$
- Alternative return (implied) volatility: option straddles.

Conclusion

- Nice and clever contribution to understand the nature/cost of price rigidities from financial data.
- Very careful and convincing empirical analysis.
- Some doubts about the theoretical link. It is difficult to map the results to obtain an actual cost.
- Future work:
 - Lucca and Moench (2013) FOMC pre-announcement effect and price rigidities?
 - Other shocks?
 - Time-series predictability of stock returns.