he billboard features a cross-stitch design of a Georgian red brick home against a floral backdrop. Above the house, “Home Sweet Home” is inscribed in calligraphy. The warm picture stands in contrast to the cold warning stamped on the advisory: “Don’t Lose Your ‘Home Sweet Home’ to a Bad Loan.”

This was an early advertisement for the Don’t Borrow Trouble consumer awareness campaign introduced in 1999 to help homebuyers and homeowners in the Boston area avoid inappropriate mortgages and predatory home loans. The City of Boston and the Massachusetts Community and Banking Council, an association of banks and community organizations working to encourage investment in lower-income areas, collaborated on the original campaign. Under the leadership of Freddie Mac, the program has gone nationwide and provided public awareness materials to 30 states and the District of Columbia.

Of the borrowers who called the agency’s Don’t Borrow Trouble hotline, 16 percent were already in delinquency and 30 percent in the process of foreclosure.

The Don’t Borrow Trouble campaign is one of many foreclosure prevention and intervention efforts around the nation. Broadly speaking, these efforts are aimed at meeting the needs of potential homebuyers, borrowers with high-cost loans, borrowers who are struggling to make their home mortgage payments, and/or victims of
predatory lending. The programs and services can include referral hotlines that connect borrowers to useful resources; consumer awareness campaigns; homeownership and foreclosure prevention education and counseling services; refinancing programs for borrowers; legislative actions to strengthen consumer protections; research; and more.

As foreclosure rates have risen across New England and the nation, particularly in lower-income areas, consumers, policymakers, and organizations engaged in anti-foreclosure efforts have been asking for clarification about the full range of resources available to borrowers. This article describes the types of foreclosure prevention and intervention efforts existing in New England as of early October 2007. The information was gathered from interviews with over 30 practitioners from more than 20 organizations. We highlight many of the region’s largest initiatives, as well as a few smaller ones, to inform readers of the range of resources available. It should be noted that there are other smaller and localized programs working hard to meet consumers’ needs.1 We conclude with a discussion of the types of challenges anti-foreclosure programs are facing as they work to provide assistance to consumers.

Referral Programs

Referral programs are often the first point of contact for people looking for information about homeownership or how to avoid a foreclosure. These programs act as “gateways,” connecting consumers to other foreclosure prevention and intervention services.

Although not used exclusively for foreclosure intervention, the United Way’s “211” telephone information system provides callers with a toll-free, one-stop referral to a wide array of social services, including housing assistance programs. The 211 hotline is currently operating in 42 states (though some operate locally rather than statewide), including Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, and Vermont. New Hampshire is expected to establish a 211 network in 2008. Consumers with questions about homeownership and home preservation can call the 211 number and be connected to local resources. According to John Nimmo, assistant director of the Homeownership Connection in Rhode Island, the 211 program can be especially helpful to borrowers facing a potential foreclosure. This is because families experiencing mortgage delinquency are often undergoing other personal and financial troubles, and the 211 system helps them get connected to multiple resources.

Some local groups also operate referral programs. One group that recently initiated a referral hotline is the Brockton Housing Partnership in Massachusetts, a consortium of 11 credit unions, banks, and nonprofit organizations that is engaged in increasing the Brockton area’s affordable housing inventory. Leo MacNeil is senior vice president at HarborOne Credit Union, one of the Partnership’s members. According to MacNeil, English, Spanish, Portuguese, and French speakers can call the hotline (508-586-6080) and leave voicemails with their questions or concerns. Then, a staff member who speaks the caller’s language will return the call and provide referrals to financial education and home counseling programs. MacNeil stresses that the multilingual component of this program is crucial for meeting the needs of the community, given the large numbers of immigrants living in the area.

Consumer Awareness, Education, and Counseling

Consumer awareness campaigns and homeownership and anti-foreclosure education and counseling services are designed to provide consumers with information they need to make good decisions about financing home purchases and avoiding foreclosure.

As described above, Don’t Borrow Trouble is a national public awareness program operating in many states. Freddie Mac encourages state and local campaigns to modify the original marketing
materials to fit local needs. Many organizations use these materials to complement other services that they offer. Local organizations interested in participating in the Don't Borrow Trouble campaign can contact their state’s lead agency or the national office at Freddie Mac. See Figure 1 for this contact information.

NeighborWorks America, created by Congress in 1978 as a national nonprofit, provides assistance to over 240 community-based organizations in its network, some of which engage in homeownership education and anti-foreclosure counseling. Specifically, NeighborWorks provides financial support, technical assistance, and training for community-based revitalization efforts. The NeighborWorks Center for Foreclosure Solutions also builds capacity among foreclosure counselors around the nation, conducts outreach campaigns to reach struggling homeowners, researches local and national trends to develop policy recommendations, and works with some local leaders to create sustainable foreclosure intervention programs. Borrowers can call the referral hotline (1-888-995-HOPE) to be connected to NeighborWorks’ resources, including member organizations in the caller’s local area.

In general, anti-foreclosure counseling tends to be specialized and time intensive. David Deziel, director of communication and development at the Consumer Credit Counseling Service of New Hampshire and Vermont, estimates that a typical case takes about 10 hours of counseling. This can include evaluating the client’s financial strength, researching programs available and appropriate to the client’s situation, discussing alternative solutions with the client, and sometimes negotiating with the lender on behalf of the client for forbearance, term modification, short sale, and other alternative solutions.

Many of the borrowers seeking counseling are financially disadvantaged and see the counselor as a last resort. For instance, of the 938 cases that the Homeownership Connection of Rhode Island and its affiliate organizations received in the first three quarters of 2007, about 29 percent were from low-income families and approximately 52 percent were from very low-income families. Many counselors emphasize the need for widespread availability of effective homeownership education programs to help this cohort of consumers. Counselors hope that borrowers who learn about financial planning and how to avoid inappropriate loans in the first place will be better positioned to avoid foreclosure in the long run.

### Refinance Products

Borrowers with prime mortgages typically have the opportunity to refinance with lenders. However, some borrowers of high-cost loans may find it difficult to refinance into a product that would be more suitable for them, particularly given the recent softening in the real estate market. This issue is exacerbated for borrowers also having difficulty making their mortgage payments. In general, nonprofit and private sector institutions have been reluctant to offer refinance programs for borrowers of high-cost loans because of the higher financial risk associate with these borrowers.

Some state housing agencies are providing an opportunity for borrowers of high-cost loans to refinance, though most of these programs are designed exclusively for victims of predatory loans. Massachusetts is one of the few states in the nation and the only one in New England that operates such a program. In July 2007, MassHousing, Massachusetts’ affordable housing lender, announced the provision of $250 million in refinance products for victims of predatory lending through its Home Saver program. Approximately three quarters of this funding will come from Fannie Mae, while MassHousing will sell bonds to cover the remainder. MassHousing plans to have a relatively small number of originators participate in this program, about 20, to ensure effective collaboration and efficient oversight.

The program has strict qualification requirements. Several of these are listed in Figure 2. Kevin Mello, senior manager of asset management at MassHousing, estimates unofficially that perhaps 10 percent to 20 percent of applicants will pass the initial screening to determine if they have been victims of predatory lending. Applicants would then be evaluated using MassHousing’s underwriting criteria. MassHousing expects that about 10 percent of the applicants who pass the initial screening would eventually meet its underwriting criteria. In total, MassHousing

### Figure 2: MassHousing Refinance Product Eligibility Guidelines (Partial)

- Restricted to victims of predatory loans
- Borrower must meet minimum credit score (e.g., 560 for single family/condo)
- The loan size must be under the maximum cap (e.g., ≤ $417,000 for single family/condo)
- The borrower must earn less than the income cap (TBD)
- If the borrower is behind on loan payments, the payments must be fewer than 60 days late and the delinquency must be the result of the loan resetting into a higher rate

Call 1-888-995-HOPE to find out more
hopes to underwrite about 1,500 loans under the new program. It has been difficult for many state housing agencies in New England to develop refinance products. As explained by state housing finance agency officials, the following are some of the reasons for this difficulty:

• Limited authority: Many state housing finance agencies are chartered to use funds only for affordable housing and first-time home buyer programs. Legislative action, often a lengthy and uncertain process, would be required to allow them to offer refinancing products.

• Low cost-effectiveness: The number of victims of predatory lending that would meet housing agencies’ underwriting criteria is probably low, so it is difficult to justify the cost-effectiveness of a refinance program.

• Difficulty distinguishing the target population: Agencies worry that they might not be able to distinguish between true victims of predatory lending and borrowers who knowingly took on a lot of risk and are now trying to salvage part of their failed investment.

• Political concerns: Officials are also sensitive to the criticism of rescue products, namely that they could appear to be wasteful “bail-outs” and would only delay borrowers’ financial problems rather than solve them. Many agencies are also simply taking a wait-and-see approach to avoid political attention.

Other Initiatives

While referral programs, education and counseling services, and refinance products are among the more commonly discussed anti-foreclosure programs, organizations are also engaged in a number of other initiatives.

Legislative and Regulatory Action

Several New England states have taken legislative and regulatory action in recent months in response to rising foreclosures. In April 2007, Massachusetts Governor Deval Patrick directed the Massachusetts Division of Banks to seek case-by-case foreclosure stays for homeowners who file complaints with the banking division. In June, Governor Patrick filed legislation to criminalize mortgage fraud, prohibit abusive foreclosure rescue schemes, and strengthen consumer protections. Also in June, the Maine legislature passed a law that establishes fiduciary duty for lenders and brokers and strengthens consumer protections for high-cost loan borrowers. In July, New Hampshire passed a law tightening regulations on foreclosure consultants and pre-foreclosure conveyance, while Connecticut issued regulatory guidance on underwriting standards, management practices, and consumer protection provisions for subprime loans (see the New England Updates section for details on the New Hampshire and Connecticut legislative and regulatory actions).

In addition, several actions taken on the federal level are likely to impact New England. In April, the member regulatory agencies of the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council issued a joint statement encouraging financial institutions to conduct prudent workout arrangements, consistent with safe and sound lending practices, with residential borrowers failing to meet their contractual mortgage payment obligations. In July, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission issued an opinion allowing more flexibility on the accounting rule known as FAS 140, encouraging lenders and servicers to become more proactive in modifying loans and helping borrowers avoid foreclosure. In August, President Bush announced that the Federal Housing Administration will expand its refinancing program to borrowers with strong credit histories who made timely mortgage payments but defaulted after their loans reset to higher interest rates. The Administration expects the program to help an estimated 240,000 families avoid foreclosure.

Research and Analysis

New England has numerous research institutions producing high-quality work about foreclosure prevention and intervention. In 2006, research conducted by Coastal Enterprises Inc., a community-based organization in Maine, in collaboration with the Center for Responsible Lending, a research and policy organization based in North Carolina, sparked much public discussion about predatory lending in Maine. Information from the report was subsequently used in drafting Maine’s recent anti-predatory lending legislation.

Harvard University’s Joint Center for Housing
Studies, a think tank for housing market and housing policies issues, has been prolific in its research related to fair lending and housing finance. Several of its recent reports propose far-reaching recommendations to revise current regulatory and supervisory frameworks for a more efficient and fair mortgage market with reduced delinquency risk.8

Most foreclosure research focuses on urban areas, but rural areas are not exempt from predatory lending and high rates of foreclosures. The Carsey Institute at the University of New Hampshire, in conjunction with the Housing Assistance Council, a national rural housing nonprofit located in Washington, D.C., has researched predatory lending practices in rural New England that are associated with delinquency and foreclosure.9

The Federal Reserve Bank of Boston offers a wide variety of resources related to foreclosures, including consumer awareness literature, referral information to homeownership and home preservation education and counseling services, quantitative analysis of mortgage and foreclosure data, and a number of articles and papers on mortgage markets, foreclosure trends, and related public policy issues.10 See Figure 3 for links to the research mentioned in this section.

Rental Assistance

Although not always thought of as foreclosure intervention, rental assistance can provide critical help to borrowers losing their homes to foreclosure or who use foreclosure prevention strategies such as short sale or deed-in-lieu, as well as to renters who are displaced when landlords are forced to foreclose on apartment buildings. Some affordable housing organizations that own and/or manage rental units provide rental assistance programs. The Concord Area Trust for Community Housing (CATCH) in New Hampshire, an affordable housing nonprofit that owns about 200 apartment units, gives special consideration to families with histories of delinquency that are the result of predatory loans. While it does not give preferential treatment to these families, it will not disqualify them because of their history when reviewing rental applications. Instead, CATCH will evaluate their applications in the context of recent events in the mortgage market.

Lawrence Community Works (LCW) of Massachusetts is concerned about the community effects of increases in foreclosures. Kristen Harol, deputy director of LCW, explains that her organization is considering a proposal to buy a pool of foreclosed homes in the community (probably at least 20 properties). Some of these homes would be retrofitted for resale, but others would be kept in LCW’s portfolio of affordable rental apartments. These affordable units could also provide more housing options to families who have experienced foreclosure.

Challenges Ahead

There is much variety in the types of foreclosure prevention and intervention programs being offered, as well as in the organizations that are sponsoring them. Some of these programs have been in operation for over a decade, while some are still in the conception stage; some are nationwide programs, while some are targeted to specific neighborhoods; some are public programs, while some are spearheaded by nonprofit or private institutions. Despite the fact that these programs differ in their history, scale, and nature, many of them share common challenges.

Effective Outreach

Time is of the essence in foreclosure intervention, but many borrowers seek help too late. Andrew Cadorette, education coordinator at the New Hampshire Housing Finance Authority, notes that for the borrowers who called his agency’s Don’t Borrow Trouble hotline in the first three quarters of 2007, about 16 percent of them were already in delinquency and an additional 30 percent were in foreclosure or entering foreclosure by the time they called. There are many reasons why it is difficult to get borrowers to seek help earlier. Counselors suggest that some of the major reasons include:

• Self-denial: Counselors note that many borrowers know there is assistance available to them but do not seek help because they have difficulty admitting their financial troubles and the possibility of losing their homes.

Figure 3: Select Foreclosure Research Resources

- Carsey Institute: http://carseyinstitute.unh.edu/
- Center for Responsible Lending: http://www.responsiblelending.org/research
- Coastal Enterprises Inc.: http://www.ceimaine.org/content/view/61/102
- Federal Reserve Bank of Boston: http://www.bos.frb.org/commdev/foreclosures
- Harvard Joint Center for Housing Studies: http://www.jchs.harvard.edu/publications/finance
- Housing Assistance Council: http://www.ruralhome.org
• Competitive marketing environment: David Deziel of Consumer Credit Counseling Service NH-VT explains that it is difficult for counseling agencies, which often have limited outreach budgets, to compete for attention in a market saturated with aggressive commercial mortgage advertisements. In addition, consumers are not always certain which advertised counseling programs are legitimate and which programs are scams, deterring them from seeking help.

• Hit-or-miss outreach: Many counseling agencies target their outreach to high-risk neighborhoods but use a passive strategy (e.g., mass mailing to all high-risk borrowers in a high-risk neighborhood). Few are able to identify and proactively reach out to specific overextended and near-delinquent borrowers before it is too late.

Capacity Constraints

There is some concern that, if foreclosure rates continue to rise, this will test the capacity of intervention programs. George Helwig, director of home ownership programs at CATCH, explains that his agency has only three counselors, so a 20 percent increase in foreclosure cases would push its counseling services close to their capacity limit. Ray Neirinckx, coordinator of the Rhode Island Housing Resources Commission, shares that many counselors have been asked to take on foreclosure counseling in addition to their other work in affordable housing, community development, and personal finance education. Neirinckx also explains that because foreclosure intervention is highly individualized, in order to build up organizations’ capacity for counseling, it is necessary to develop professional staff with sufficient expertise to tailor individualized solutions. Connecticut’s banking commissioner, Howard Pitkin, who currently co-chairs Connecticut’s Sub-prime Mortgage Task Force, agrees that many foreclosure intervention agencies have limited capacities, and it is a challenge for them to look for additional resources while at the same time trying to meet the increasing demand for counseling. Despite these capacity constraint concerns, counselors still urge borrowers to seek help early.

Collaboration

Foreclosure intervention efforts could benefit from a more coordinated multi-stakeholder, multi-sector approach. However, some organizations running these programs are strapped for resources and find it difficult to actively seek out collaborative opportunities. Lenders, originators, and servicers who have information about borrowers who are at risk of delinquency can refer these borrowers to counselors. Counselors can benefit from more systematic channels to connect with servicers for the purposes of developing loan workouts. Andrew Cadorette of the New Hampshire Housing Finance Authority has been experimenting with a system that allows him to connect borrowers (and counselors) to the appropriate lender and servicer personnel quickly: He is developing a list of key contacts at lending and servicing institutions and providing this to counselors across the state. Stephen Pike, senior vice president at North Easton Savings Bank of Massachusetts and a long-time board member of the Brockton Housing Partnership, indicates that collaboration has helped the Partnership come up with effective intervention efforts. He explains that the group’s multi-sector membership allows it to continually explore opportunities for addressing multiple aspects of the foreclosure problem.

Limited Information

Another challenge, especially for financial institutions and state housing finance agencies, has been the limited information available about high-cost loan borrowers who could potentially qualify for a refinance product. Financial institutions and others interested in getting these borrowers into more appropriate loans are uncertain about how many of these borrowers there are and how many are financially sound enough to refinance. As such, they have difficulty reaching these borrowers. Research conducted by the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston indicates that some borrowers who received subprime loans may qualify for lower-cost loans. This is because they either started out with strong credit scores or improved their scores after having made regular mortgage payments. Moreover, despite the recent dip in housing prices, some borrowers may have accumulated sufficient equity over time to refinance. As such, the Boston Fed is encouraging banks to help provide refinancing to borrowers who qualify.

This article documents some of the foreclosure prevention and intervention efforts currently underway in New England for the purpose of illustrating the range of activities undertaken by these programs. It is difficult to estimate the effectiveness of these programs, but there are
conclusions about how to strengthen their impact going forward. For example, most counselors agree that educating consumers about the risks of getting into inappropriate mortgages is more effective than trying to help them refinance after they have taken out a bad loan. The Boston Home Center, the City of Boston’s homeownership and home preservation resource center, suggests that its home purchase and homeownership education classes affirm this principle. Of the 44,000 people who have gone through the Home Center-affiliated education classes over the last decade, only about 0.7 percent have suffered from foreclosures. It is possible that there will be more lessons learned during this season, and nonprofit, public, and private organizations can use these lessons to adapt their programs and strengthen their ability to help borrowers in the future.

Kai-yan Lee is a senior research associate at the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston.
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Endnotes
1 For example, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development’s list of approved agencies in New England includes more than 80 organizations that engage in counseling for loss mitigation, mortgage delinquency and default resolution, and/or victims of predatory lending. See http://www.federalreserve.gov/bankers/brief/Slrletters.
2 There are a few exceptions. For example, the Neighborhood Assistance Corporation of America, a nonprofit community advocacy and homeownership organization, announced that it has committed $1 billion to help borrowers of high-cost mortgages refinance these loans.
3 These qualification rates would not be unique to MassHousing. The Neighborhood Housing Services of Chicago, a nonprofit serving the metro area, reports that it was able to help only about 8 percent of its clients refinance and avoid mortgage delinquency or foreclosure.
4 In comparison, there were more than 2,100 new filings of foreclosures in Massachusetts in July 2007 alone. While MassHousing’s refinance product targets victims of predatory lending, the comparison of this program—one of the largest foreclosure intervention programs in Massachusetts—with new filings of foreclosures is perhaps demonstrative of the challenge of creating a substantial impact through refinance programs.
5 The Massachusetts Division of Banks recently reported that the Division successfully persuaded lenders to allow stays on foreclosure proceedings for 399 cases out of the 877 cases that it closed since May 2007.
8 For instance, see Mortgage Market Channels and Fair Lending: an Analysis of HMDA Data (2007) and Understanding Mortgage Market Behavior: Creating Good Mortgage Option for All Americans (2007).
9 See Subprime and Predatory Lending in Rural America (2006).
10 For instance, see Understanding Foreclosures in Massachusetts (2007).
Foreclosure Prevention and Intervention
In July, Connecticut Banking Commissioner Howard F. Pitkin announced the adoption of new regulatory guidance for mortgage originators selling certain adjustable-rate mortgage products to subprime borrowers. The Connecticut guidance mirrors newly released federal guidance but has been modified to address issues particular to nondepository providers, which are generally licensed and regulated by the state. In August, Governor M. Jodi Rell and Commissioner Pitkin announced the establishment of a Mortgage Foreclosure Assistance Hotline (877-472-8313) to connect residents having problems with their mortgages to resources that may be able to help them. In September, Attorney General Richard Blumenthal announced a state lawsuit against several defendants alleged to have participated in a predatory lending scheme. The defendants are accused of luring home buyers to purchase homes they could not afford by concealing certain costs and then falsifying borrowers’ incomes with fake bank and employer records.

In July, New Hampshire Governor John Lynch signed a law aimed at protecting homeowners from foreclosure rescue schemes. The law imposes new standards on pre-foreclosure sales, requires greater disclosure from foreclosure consulting services companies, and creates stiff penalties for those who take advantage of homeowners. In August, New Hampshire’s Banking Department began conducting information sessions across the state to help consumers avoid risky mortgages. At the sessions, the department’s examiners review loan documents with borrowers and potential borrowers to check for improper activities by mortgage originators and provide consumers with referrals for further assistance. For more information, residents can call the New Hampshire Banking Department at 800-437-5991.

Also in July, Massachusetts Attorney General Martha Coakley reached an agreement with Fremont General Corp. to halt foreclosures on over 2,200 loans owned by state residents for a 90-day period. This moratorium is intended to allow state officials time to review the transactions to determine whether the lender gave subprime mortgages to borrowers who could not afford the loan payments. On the same day as Coakley’s announcement, Governor Deval Patrick announced a $250 million refinancing fund to help some victims of predatory lending refinance their home loans. In August, Attorney General Coakley, in partnership with several bar associations, legal services organizations, and advocacy groups, announced the establishment of a Pro Bono Foreclosure Assistance Hotline. Low-income Massachusetts residents facing foreclosure may call 800-342-5297 or 617-603-1700 for assistance.
Connecticut

Governor M. Jodi Rell signed legislation in August establishing a Responsible Growth Task Force charged with drawing up recommendations for how the state can promote smart growth through its land use, transportation, housing, and job growth policies. The law also requires the state to study smart growth concepts such as regional tax sharing and regional economic development districts. In addition, the law establishes a $5 million grant program for towns that replace single-town services with regional programs and specifies that all projects receiving a $200,000 or larger state grant for property acquisition or development be consistent with Connecticut’s Plan of Conservation and Development.

In August, Governor Rell announced the availability of state funding for programs that work toward reducing urban youth violence. The state will provide grants to approximately 15 to 30 projects in sizes ranging from $50,000 to $100,000. Eligible participants include municipalities and nonprofit agencies that reach out to youth between the ages of 12 and 18. The grants will be allocated to programs that offer a range of activities including mentoring; tutoring; social and cultural activities; athletic and recreational opportunities; training in problem-solving; strategies to reduce street violence and improve relations between the police and communities; and outreach efforts to attract at-risk youth.

Maine

In August, Governor John E. Baldacci signed into law LD 1860, “An Act to Implement the Recommendations of the Task Force to Engage Maine’s Youth Regarding Successful School Completion.” The Governor had formed the task force in June 2006 to review the issue of students experiencing educational disruption. The new law establishes a process to recognize students experiencing disruptions in academic work, while creating procedures for school personnel and other officials to help these students. The disruptions referred to in the law include homelessness, unplanned hospitalization, foster care placement, and youth development center placement.

The Maine State Housing Authority (MaineHousing) announced in August a pilot project aimed at promoting energy efficiency. MaineHousing is helping create a wind turbine system for a 16-unit apartment property in Winter Harbor that will provide electricity to the common areas and electricity to heat hot water for all tenants. The installation of the wind turbine is expected to reduce rents for the low-income households in the property. The project, financed by a federal Residential Energy Assistance Challenge (REACH) grant, is a cooperative effort involving MaineHousing, Efficiency Maine, the Washington-Hancock Community Action Agency, and the town of Winter Harbor.
Massachusetts

In July, Governor Deval Patrick signed into law two key pieces of his proposed Municipal Partnership Act that will provide communities with tools to help relieve pressure on property taxes. The first piece will help municipalities to lower health care costs by giving them the option to join the state’s Group Insurance Commission. The second piece identifies and requires the state's lowest-performing pension systems to invest with the state Pension Reserve Investment Trust (PRIT). The performance criteria are based on the funding level and the average rate of return, as compared with PRIT, over the last 10 years.

Massachusetts Undersecretary for Housing and Community Development, Tina Brooks, announced in August that state housing agencies will begin meeting together monthly to coordinate their activities and maximize their collective resources. The new effort is being called Mass-Home Team and includes the participation of the state Department of Housing and Community Development, MassHousing, the Massachusetts Housing Partnership, MassDevelopment, and the Community Economic Development Assistance Corporation. The goals of the Mass-Home Team are to simplify housing policy development and implementation, help the Governor’s oversight of the housing system, and coordinate and leverage the financial and technical resources of all parties.

New Hampshire

Governor John Lynch signed legislation in July aimed at reducing the incidence of lead poisoning in children. This new law adopts the recommendations of the task force the governor established last fall on lead poisoning. Under the provisions of the bill, if a child is found with lead poisoning, the Department of Health and Human Services is allowed to inspect all units in the building where the child lives. The law also creates a commission to study childhood lead poisoning prevention laws and policies, secure funding to support efforts to reduce lead paint hazards, and disseminate information and education about this issue.

Also in July, Governor Lynch signed a home heating fuel consumer protection law. Many New Hampshire customers have entered pre-buy contracts in order to lock in lower oil prices, but in several cases oil companies have not been able to meet their promises, leaving the consumer without their fuel and money. The new law is intended to help ensure that the language of a pre-buy home heating fuel contract can be easily understood by the consumer, that oil companies will show proof they can acquire the fuel at the agreed upon price, and that companies will reimburse the consumer for any undelivered pre-purchased fuel.

Rhode Island

In July, Rhode Island Housing announced KeepSpace, an initiative to protect open space by coordinating development activities in certain communities. The initiative will make $10 million in bonds, grants, and other subsidies available for projects that encourage efficient use of land and other natural resources by creating a mix of rental and owner-occupied homes. The expectation is that projects chosen will integrate the involvement of government, business, and civic representatives, and result in replicable models of sustainable communities. Rhode Island Housing has issued
a request for proposals to developers for the first 3 to 5 KeepSpace communities. The deadline for submission is November 15.

Also in July, the Housing Resources Commission awarded the first $10 million from a $50 million state housing bond approved by voter ballot in November 2006. The first award has been divided among 20 development projects that are expected to break ground on more than 250 affordable apartments, condominiums, and houses before the end of the year. Commission members said that in each case, the bond money will provide the last piece of funding needed for the project to go ahead. Rhode Island’s housing bond will be available over four years and is expected to leverage $450 million from public and private sources, helping to produce up to 2,000 affordable apartments and houses.

VT Vermont

In September, federal judge William Sessions dismissed the American automobile industry’s suit against the state of Vermont for adopting a regulation imposing tough greenhouse-gas emissions limits on cars and light trucks. The automobile industry has also filed a suit against California for drawing up the initial regulation that Vermont then adopted and a suit against Rhode Island for also adopting the regulation. The Vermont case was the first to go to trial, and the verdict upholds the state’s right to force a 30 percent reduction in carbon dioxide emissions by 2016. Under the federal Clean Air Act, individual states may not enforce stricter standards than those set by the federal government. However, California, in view of its size, has a special exemption: it was able to apply for a waiver from the Environmental Protection Agency that allows it to enforce stricter clean-air standards. Other states can now choose to follow either California’s standards or the federal ones.
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