# Utilization, Exogenous Balance Paths and Risk

### Mark Lueck Stress Test Modeling Symposium June 26, 2014

The views expressed here are mine and not those of the Federal Reserve System

### **Motivating Question**

If balances are determine exogenously from credit risk, how should we calculate the appropriate loss rate to apply to those balances?

## Summary

• Conventional approach to loss aggregation

• Less conventional; more realistic

• Utilization rate = risk factor

## Assumptions

 "PD \* LGD \* EAD" model of expected loss at the loan level

 Portfolio balance paths are modeled exogenously to credit risk

## **Conventional Approach**

• Credit modeling team calculates a portfolio loss rate

$$PLR_{t} = \frac{\sum_{i} (PD_{i,t} * LGD_{i,t} * EAD_{i,t})}{\sum_{i} EAD_{i,t}}$$

– PLR="Portfolio Loss Rate"

Another team projects portfolio balances

$$PB_t = OB_{t_0} * BG_{t_0,t}$$

- PB="Portfolio Balance"; OB="Outstanding Balance"; BG="Balance Growth"

• Aggregate to get "conventional approach" losses  $CPL_t^{CA} = PLR_t * PB_t$ 

- CPL="Portfolio Loss Conditional on Balance Path, Conventional Approach"

## Step Back

- Balance paths are deceptively complex.
- We know something about defaulting and maturing balances. Room for cleverness.
- Unlikely to know about conditional changes in originations and utilization separately
- In that case, assume a constant utilization rate

### **Constant Utilization Rate: Implications I**

- EAD scales with the balance path
- For concreteness, suppose we have conditional LEQ, then

$$EAD_{i,t} = OB_{i,t_0} + LEQ_t * (CB_{i,t_0} - OB_{i,t_0})$$

- CB="Committed Balance"
- Since portfolio balance growth is assumed exogenous, each loan's balances scale identically

$$\begin{split} EAD_{t} | BG_{t_{0},t} &= CEAD_{i,t} \\ &= OB_{i,t_{0}} * BG_{t_{0},t} + LEQ_{t} * (CB_{i,t_{0}} * BG_{t_{0},t} - OB_{i,t_{0}} * BG_{t_{0},t}) \\ &= BG_{t_{0},t} * (OB_{i,t_{0}} + LEQ_{t} * (CB_{i,t_{0}} - OB_{i,t_{0}})) \\ &= EAD_{i,t} * BG_{t_{0},t} \end{split}$$

CEAD="EAD Conditional on Balance Path"

### **Constant Utilization Rate: Implications II**

- Portfolio losses scale with the balance path
- Plugging in the conditional EAD from previous slide...

$$EL_{i,t} | BG_{t_0,t} = CEL_{i,t} = PD_{i,t} * LGD_{i,t} * CEAD_{i,t}$$
$$= PD_{i,t} * LGD_{i,t} * EAD_{i,t} * BG_{t_0,t}$$

- EL="Expected Loss"; CEL="Expected Loss Conditional on Balance Path"

• And, again, since balance growth is constant across the portfolio, i.e. balances are exogenous

$$CPL_{t}^{LC} = \sum_{i} (PD_{i,t} * LGD_{i,t} * EAD_{i,t} * BG_{t_{0},t})$$
$$= BG_{t_{0},t} * \sum_{i} (PD_{i,t} * LGD_{i,t} * EAD_{i,t})$$

- CPL="Portfolio Loss Conditional on Balance Path, Less Conventional"

#### **Constant Utilization Rate: Implications III**

Loss rate is a function of outstanding

$$CPL_{t}^{LC} = BG_{t_{0},t} * \sum_{i} \left( PD_{i,t} * LGD_{i,t} * EAD_{i,t} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i} \left( PD_{i,t} * LGD_{i,t} * EAD_{i,t} \right)}{OB_{t_{0}}} * \left( OB_{t_{0}} * BG_{t_{0},t} \right)$$

$$= \left( \frac{\sum_{i} EAD_{i,t}}{OB_{t_{0}}} \right) * \frac{\sum_{i} \left( PD_{i,t} * LGD_{i,t} * EAD_{i,t} \right)}{\sum_{i} EAD_{i,t}} * \left( OB_{t_{0}} * BG_{t_{0},t} \right)$$

$$= \left( \frac{\sum_{i} EAD_{i,t}}{OB_{t_{0}}} \right) * CPL_{t}^{CA}$$

## Constant Utilization Rate → Utilization Rate = Risk Factor

• Difference between  $CPL_t^{CA}$  and  $CPL_t^{LC}$  is the ratio of portfolio EAD to outstanding...

$$\begin{pmatrix} \underline{\sum_{i} EAD_{i,t}} \\ OB_{t_{0}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\sum_{i} OB_{i,t_{0}} + LEQ_{t} * (CB_{i,t_{0}} - OB_{i,t_{0}}) \\ OB_{t_{0}} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= 1 + \left[ LEQ_{t} * \left( \left( \frac{CB_{t_{0}}}{OB_{t_{0}}} \right) - 1 \right) \right] > 1$$

 Everything else equal, portfolios with lower utilization rates are more risky from a stress testing perspective