Market Analysis for Period Ending Friday, December 31, 2004

This document presents technical and fundamental analysis commonly used by investment professionals to interpret direction and valuation of equity markets, as well as tools commonly used by economists to determine the health of financial markets and their impact on the domestic United States economy. The purpose is to provide a synopsis of equity markets from as many disciplines as possible, but is in no way an endorsement of any one mode of study or source of advice on which one should base investment decisions.

Definitions of terms and explanations of indicator interpretation follow the charts in the Endnotes section.

Technical Trends
Figure 1 presents price trends and daily volumes for the New York Stock Exchange and Nasdaq Composite Indices.

The New York Stock Exchange Composite Index (NYSE Index) closed Friday, December 31 at 7250.06. The index has risen 12.4 percent since the beginning of the year and 61.6 percent since its low point on March 12, 2003. In December, the NYSE exceeded its bull market peak of 2000 and ended the month 1.2 percent above the peak.

The NYSE index traded above its 200-day moving average from the opening of the Iraq conflict until early August of 2004. Such a prolonged period of time above this trend line usually signals a bull market. However, the index started to approach its 200-trailing line in the second quarter and followed it closely for almost 3 months. In October, the index again overpassed its 200-day moving average and remained above it since. The NYSE stayed above its 50-day moving average until March of this year and exceeded it again in late October. The 50-day trend line crossed the index a number of times during the second and third quarters, signaling market corrections.

The National Association of Securities Dealers Composite Index (Nasdaq Index) closed at 2175.44. During 2004, the Nasdaq Index rose 8.4 percent. Since the low it reached on March 11, 2003, the Nasdaq has risen 71.0 percent. Despite the huge gains, and in contrast to the NYSE, the Nasdaq is still 56.9 percent below its March 2000 all-time high (figure 1).

The Nasdaq remained above its 200-day moving average until May of 2004 and dipped sharply below it in August. Since late October the Nasdaq has again traded far above its 200-day trend line. The Nasdaq crossed its 50-day moving average several times during the year until September. Since then, the index moved above its 50-day trend line,
indicating a prolonged rally with few corrections.

Figures 2, 3, and 4 present some technical indicators commonly cited by stock market analysts.

As of December 31, the relative strength index (RSI) for the NYSE Composite had a value of 70.29 percent, moving right at the border of territory commonly viewed as bearish (figure 2, upper panel). The RSI for Nasdaq equaled 65.84 at the end of the year, i.e. neutral territory (lower panel). The RSI for both indexes remained in neutral territory for the most of 2004, reaching into overbought territory for a short period of time after the presidential election.

The number of stocks rising to new 52-week highs in the NYSE had several spikes during the year, the largest of them occurring in the first and last quarter. However, the December rally has not seen as many stocks reach their high points as November did. New lows were almost nonexistent during the year, except for May and August of 2004 (figure 3 upper panel). Although the momentum oscillator (middle panel) indicated overbought conditions between August and December, NYSE composite prices rose about 13 percent during this five-month interval. The Market Breadth indicator (figure 3, bottom panel) has been rising during the last two quarters, signifying a widespread rally.

The number of Nasdaq stocks reaching new highs had fewer and smaller spikes than the NYSE despite the huge gains of the Nasdaq. The new lows indicator increased sharply in August but has been negligible during the rest of the year (figure 4, upper panel). The Nasdaq's momentum indicator (figure 4, middle panel), like that for the NYSE, generally indicated overbought conditions since August, while Nasdaq composite prices rose about 15 percent. Growing at a slower pace, the Market Breadth indicator has started to diverge from the index in the last quarter which suggests that the recent rally has been narrow (lowest panel, figure 4).

**Volatility**

Indicators of market volatility are shown in figure 5.

The Chicago Board of Options Exchange (CBOE) provides daily measures of volatility for the S&P 100 (VIX) and for the Nasdaq 100 (VXN). Both the VIX and VXN remained low throughout the year and thus continued the trend from 2003.

Put/Call ratios appear in figure 6.

Monthly data are shown from January through December. The CBOE individual equity put/call ratio was above 0.6 for most of 2004, indicating that retail investors were overly pessimistic about the substance of the rally, a bullish sign. The S&P 100 put/call ratio was
neutral for most of 2004 (figure 6, top panel).

**Sector Performance**

Figure 7 compares the performance of the various economic sectors within the S&P 500 as well as other international and style indices.

All ten S&P 500 economic sectors report positive returns for the year 2004. Energy, with a gain of 28.77 percent, ranks as the best performing sector for 2004 and over the past five years. During 2004, Health Care showed the slowest growth of all sectors, only 0.23 percent. It was followed by Information Technology, which gained 2.14 percent and placed among the worst performers over the past five years. While the telecommunication sector has fared the worst since 1999, it improved significantly in 2004, growing 15.97 percent (figure 7, upper panel).

The Wilshire 5000, composed of all U.S. equity issues, rose 10.8 percent in 2004. The German DAX gained 7.3 percent, similarly to the British FTSE 100 and Japan's Nikkei 225 that showed 7.5 and 7.6 returns, respectively. However, the two latter indexes still continue to have negative five-year average annual returns (figure 7, middle panel).

All four Russell style indexes in figure 7 (lower panel) had positive returns in 2004 although not as large as in the previous year. The Russell 2000 continued to have the highest growth, 17 percent in 2004. The Russell 1000 Large-Cap and Growth indexes had a negative average annual return in the last five years despite their positive gains in 2004.

**Valuation**

Figure 8 shows three measures of historical and future valuation: historical PE ratios in the top panel, forward and trailing PE ratios using analysts' estimates of operating earnings in the middle panel, and strategists' two-year forecasts of earnings growth in the lower panel. Figure 9 graphs the current and previous earnings forecasts for several calendar years in the top panel, and lists the current and previous growth of earnings forecasts for each S&P 500 sector in the two tables.

The macro projections from strategists for the growth of earnings for the Standard and Poor’s 500 index over the next two years have been revised downward to 1.9 percent in the fourth quarter, below the 7.1 percent historical average annual growth rate. The S&P 500 trailing price-earnings ratio increased to 18.9 in the fourth quarter from 18.2 in the third quarter. During the fourth quarter the price-earnings ratio for the S&P Smallcap 600 increased to 24.8 from 24.3. The fourth quarter forecast for the S&P 500 forward price-to-operating earnings ratio, using bottom-up forecasts from analysts, increased to 17.0 from 16.6 in
the third quarter (figure 8).

The analysts surveyed by Thomson Financial/First Call report a 15.1 percent increase in earnings for the S&P 500 in the fourth quarter of 2004, and a 19.2 percent increase for calendar year 2004. The materials, energy and technology sectors had the largest increases in earnings in 2004, while the telecommunication sector saw a decline. The analysts expect a 10.5 percent increase for calendar year 2005, led by the materials and industrials sectors. The materials and energy sectors are projected to have the largest gains in the first quarter, when the overall S&P 500 is expected to see earnings growth of 7.4 percent (figure 9).

The Stock Market Report is now available to the general public. The current issue, as well as previous editions, can be found at our public website, http://www.bos.frb.org/economic/smr/smr.htm.

Please contact Maria Giduskova for questions and comments at Maria.Giduskova@bos.frb.org, or by phone at (617) 973-3198.
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Growth of Earnings - Quarterly Pattern
(4-quarter percent change)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Current 04Q3</th>
<th>Nov-04 04Q3</th>
<th>Oct-04 04Q3</th>
<th>Current 04Q4</th>
<th>Nov-04 04Q4</th>
<th>Oct-04 04Q4</th>
<th>Current 05Q1</th>
<th>Nov-04 05Q1</th>
<th>Oct-04 05Q1</th>
<th>Current 05Q2</th>
<th>Nov-04 05Q2</th>
<th>Oct-04 05Q2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Cyclicals</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Staples</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>-2%</td>
<td>-5%</td>
<td>-1%</td>
<td>-18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financials</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Care</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrials</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telecom</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>-16%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>-7%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>-3%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>-4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>-1%</td>
<td>-3%</td>
<td>-2%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Growth of Earnings - Calendar Year
(4-quarter percent change)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Current 04CY</th>
<th>Dec-04 04CY</th>
<th>Sep-04 04CY</th>
<th>Jul-04 04CY</th>
<th>Mar-04 04CY</th>
<th>Jan-04 04CY</th>
<th>Sep-03 04CY</th>
<th>Current 05CY</th>
<th>Dec-04 05CY</th>
<th>Sep-04 05CY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Cyclicals</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Staples</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>-8%</td>
<td>-15%</td>
<td>-14%</td>
<td>-4%</td>
<td>-4%</td>
<td>-12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financials</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Care</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrials</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telecom</td>
<td>-9%</td>
<td>-9%</td>
<td>-14%</td>
<td>-15%</td>
<td>-8%</td>
<td>-2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Thomson Financial/First Call
Endnotes

Relationships described in these notes represent the thinking of those analysts who commonly cite these indicators. While many analysts consider these to be commonly used indicators, they are not necessarily endorsed as the prevailing tools used by the analyst community, and have not been validated by anyone at the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston.

1. 50-Day, 200-Day Moving Average: Moving averages represent the average price investors pay for securities over a historical period, and present a smoothed picture of the price trends, eliminating the volatile daily movement. Because these lines offer a historical consensus entry point, chartists look to moving average trend lines of index prices to define levels of support or resistance in the market. When a chart trend is predominantly sideways (Figure 1, top chart), moving averages and the underlying series frequently cross, but during a time of prolonged increase or decrease (bottom chart) the daily prices of a security typically are above or below the trailing average. Moving above or below the 50-day moving average is sometimes associated with rallies or corrections. Similarly, prolonged movements, such as bull and bear markets can be represented by securities remaining above or below their 200-day moving average for prolonged periods of time.

2. 9-Day, 18-Day Moving Averages: The 9-day and 18-day moving averages are often used together to provide buy and sell signals. Buy signals are indicated by the 9-day average crossing above the 18-day when both are in an uptrend. The reverse, the 9-day crossing below the 18-day while both moving averages are declining, is a sign to sell. However, this simple tool can often be misleading because of its dependence on trending markets and its inability to capture quick market turns.

3. Relative Strength Index (RSI): This momentum oscillator measures the velocity of directional price movements. When prices move rapidly upward it may indicate an overbought condition, generally assumed to occur above 70 percent. Oversold conditions arise when prices drop quickly, producing RSI readings below 30 percent.

4. New Highs, New Lows: A straightforward breadth indicator, this is the 10-day moving average of the number of stocks on a given index or exchange making new 52-week highs or lows each day. This indicator also demonstrates divergence. If an index makes a new low, but the number of stocks in the index making new lows declines, there is positive divergence. Technical analysts refer to this as a lack of downside conviction, or a situation where stocks generally fell on a given day, but not by a significant margin that would indicate intense selling pressure and further declines. Conversely, in rising markets if an index makes a new high but the number of individual stocks in that index making new highs does not increase the rally may not be sustained.

5. Momentum Oscillator: Also known as the overbought/oversold oscillator, this
6. Cumulative Advance - Decline Line: Referred to as market breadth, the indicator is the cumulative total of advancing minus declining issues each day. When the line makes new highs a rally is considered widespread, but when lagging a rally is seen as narrow.

7. Volatility: With regard to stock price and stock index level, volatility is a measure of changes in price expressed in percentage terms without regard to direction. This means that a rise from 200 to 202 in one index is equal in volatility terms to a rise from 100 to 101 in another index, because both changes are 1 percent. Also, a 1 percent price rise is equal in volatility terms to a 1 percent price decline. While volatility simply means movement, there are four ways to describe this movement:

1. **Historic volatility** is a measure of actual price changes during a specific time period in the past. Mathematically, historic volatility is the annualized standard deviation of daily returns during a specific period. CBOE provides 30 day historical volatility data for obtainable stocks in the Trader's Tools section of this Web site.

2. **Future volatility** means the annualized standard deviation of daily returns during some future period, typically between now and an option expiration. And it is future volatility that option pricing formulas need as an input in order to calculate the theoretical value of an option. Unfortunately, future volatility is only known when it has become historic volatility. Consequently, the volatility numbers used in option pricing formulas are only estimates of future volatility. This might be a shock to those who place their faith in theoretical values, because it raises a question about those values. Theoretical values are only estimates, and as with any estimate, they must be interpreted carefully.
3. *Expected volatility* is a trader's forecast of volatility used in an option pricing formula to estimate the theoretical value of an option. Many option traders study market conditions and historical price action to forecast volatility. Since forecasts vary, there is no specific number that everyone can agree on for expected volatility.

4. *Implied volatility* is the volatility percentage that explains the current market price of an option; it is the common denominator of option prices. Just as p/e ratios allow comparisons of stock prices over a range of variables such as total earnings and number of shares outstanding, implied volatility enables comparison of options on different underlying instruments and comparison of the same option at different times. Theoretical value of an option is a statistical concept, and traders should focus on relative value, not absolute value. The terms "overvalued" and "undervalued" describe a relationship between implied volatility and expected volatility. Two traders could differ in their opinion of the relative value of the same option if they have different market forecasts and trading styles.

8. CBOE Volatility Index (VIX): The VIX, introduced by CBOE in 1993, measures the Volatility of the U.S. equity market. It provides investors with up-to-the-minute market estimates of expected volatility by using real-time S&P 100 (AMEX: OEX) index option bid/ask quotes. This index is calculated by taking a weighted average of the implied volatilities of eight OEX calls and puts. The chosen options have an average time to maturity of 30 days. Consequently, the VIX is intended to indicate the implied volatility of 30-day index options. Some traders use it as a general indication of index option implied volatility. (Source: CBOE)

9. CBOE Nasdaq 100 Volatility Index (VXN): Like the VIX, the VXN measures implied volatility, but in this case for Nasdaq 100 (NDX) index options, thereby representing an intraday implied volatility of a hypothetical at-the-money NDX option with thirty calendar days to expiration. Both the VXN and the VIX are used as sentiment indicators for the Nasdaq 100 and for the broader market, respectively. Higher readings and spikes generally occur during times of investor panic and at times coincide with market bottoms. Low readings suggest complacency and often occur around tops in index prices.

10. Put / Call Ratios: These ratios are used as contrary sentiment indicators. Unusually high ratio values, indicating much more put buying than call buying, occur when investors are extremely pessimistic and believe the market will continue to fall dramatically, at times from already low levels, and are often considered by analysts to indicate overly pessimistic sentiment. Because so many investors believe prices will continue to fall assets can become undervalued by contemporary valuations, and prices can move quickly back up. This phenomenon in capital markets is exacerbated by the volatility and leverage associated with derivative securities like options.

    The CBOE index ratios track put and call option trade volume for exchange-traded index options like the S&P 500 and Nasdaq 100. These ratios reflect sentiment of professional and institutional strategies because they are typically
used as hedging tools by professional money managers. For example, a trader may purchase Nasdaq 100 puts as protection against loss if she also chose to simultaneously buy the Nasdaq 100 tracking stock (AMEX: QQQ). Her belief is that the Nasdaq 100 will rise, hence the outright purchase of shares, but has hedged her bet by purchasing puts option contracts, which cost a fraction of the underlying asset. Because of this institutional presence there is more put buying of index options compared with individual equity options, and the index put-call ratios are typically above 1. Index readings above 1.25 indicate much put buying and often occur when institutional investors are very pessimistic, and can lead to a short-term rally in response to this extreme negativity. Conversely, index ratios below 0.75 show very optimistic sentiment.

The CBOE equity ratio, however, is composed of trade volume for individual equity options. While both retail and institutional investors purchase individual equity options, this ratio is considered by technical analysts to be an indicator of retail investor sentiment. Because there is less of the large volume put buying associated with institutional hedging, many analysts believe this is a more sensitive indicator of sentiment, especially among individual investors who may be purchasing puts when they actually believe the price of a particular stock will fall rather than as a hedge to a long position in that stock. Readings above 0.6 suggest a rally may occur because too many investors are pessimistic. Traders believe readings below 0.3 show complacent investor psychology and that prices may decline in the future.