

# Stress Testing Modeling Symposium

Market Risk: Trading and Counterparty Risk

John Kambhu

Federal Reserve Bank of New York

# Disclaimer

The views expressed in this presentation are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the position of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York or the Federal Reserve System.

# Overview

- The stress test for Market Risk covers potential loss in trading positions.
- The stress test approach consists of models for mark-to-market losses and jump to default risk.
- Mark-to-market losses:
  - Mark-to-market losses of trading positions
  - Credit valuation adjustment (CVA) loss for derivatives counterparty risk
- Loss from jump to default risk:
  - Incremental default risk for derivatives counterparty exposures
  - Incremental default risk of credit instruments

# Objectives and Challenges

1. Specify a stress test scenario across all trading instruments and market risk factors in such a way that all firms conduct the stress test consistently and comparably.
  - Large number of instruments and risk factors in banks trading books.
  - Large potential for variability in how firms would interpret a scenario.
2. Develop a data reporting framework and an internal FR methodology to estimate potential losses using the data.
  - Constraints on data to be provided by the firms:
    - Data usable with available FR modeling resources.
    - Ability of firms' internal data systems to provide the data.
    - All firms' data can be used by the FR model without idiosyncratic adjustments to the data or the model.

# Objectives and Challenges (continued)

- Approaches used in current FR stress test models:
  - Mark-to-market loss estimate uses data provided by banks on the *sensitivity* of the value of their positions to risk factor shocks.
    - The sensitivity data are produced by banks' *internal models*
  - Default risk loss estimate is an output of a FR default risk model that uses bank provided *position data*.

# Mark-to-Market Losses of Trading Positions

- Mark to market loss is estimated using:
  - Measures of sensitivity with respect to risk factor changes
  - Risk factor shocks specified in the stress test scenario

$$\text{MtM Loss} = \text{Sensitivity measure} \times \text{Risk Factor Shock}$$

- The sensitivity measure captures non-linearity using grids of changes in risk factors of standardized sizes.
  - For example, change with respect to widening in spreads of: 50 BP, 100 BP, 500 BP.

# Mark-to-Market Losses (continued)

Data:

- Banks provide sensitivity data using a template for a pre-defined set of risk factors.
  - FR Y14-Q Trading, PE and Other Fair Value Assets Schedules
- The risk factor shocks are specified by the FR.
  - Many thousands of risk factors.
  - Granularity of the risk factor specification removes significant ambiguity in how a stress test scenario is interpreted and implemented by the firms.

# Mark-to-Market Losses (continued)

- The sensitivity measures are net sensitivity with respect to a risk factor.
  - Basis risk at a more granular level than the risk factor specification is not captured.
  - Some risk factor families are specified at a granular level.
    - But not in all cases.
- *Questions:*
  - *What emphasis should the market risk stress test place on “directional risk,” vs. “basis risk?”*
  - *While basis risks can generate severe trading losses, are they a material solvency risk in a macroeconomic stress scenario?*



# Mark-to-Market Losses (continued)

Benchmark portfolios:

- One approach for evaluating the variability across firms in their modeling of the sensitivity data they provide for the stress test is the use of benchmark or control portfolios.
- *Questions:*
  - *What are benefits and challenges of such an exercise?*
  - *How granular should such control portfolios be?*

# Derivatives Credit Valuation Adjustment (CVA)

- CVA loss is the MtM loss attributable to counterparty risk in a stressed environment for counterparties that have not yet defaulted.
  - CVA loss is determined by shocks in both counterparty *exposures* and counterparty *credit spreads* (i.e. implied *PD* and *LGD*).
  - The CVA Loss in the stress test is the difference between the initial value of CVA and the stress value of CVA.
- Like the MtM trading loss model, the CVA model uses:
  - Bank provided data on their exposures and sensitivities produced by their internal models
  - Risk factor shocks specified in the FR stress test scenario

# Incremental Default Risk (jump to default risk)

- IDR is the tail risk of loss from jump to default in a portfolio above the market implied expected loss already reflected in mark to market values.
  - The shock to MtM values captures the risk of change in credit spreads – i.e. the shock to the mean of the default distribution
    - MTM Loss does not capture the tail risk of the default distribution.
  - Incremental default risk captures the tail risk from jump to default that is not captured in the MTM Loss.
- The IDR model in the stress test simulates a frequency distribution of defaults of the credit exposures in the trading book.
- The loss from jump-to-default in the stress test is a percentile (e.g. 95%) of the distribution of defaults.
  - The tail percentile is calibrated to the macroeconomic variables in the stress scenario (e.g. BBB spreads in the macroeconomic scenario).

# Incremental Default Risk (continued)

Risk in long/short portfolios:

- The model captures correlation risk in portfolios with a mix of long and short exposures.
  - The model uses data on gross long and short positions
    - not the net position.
  - The degree to which long and short exposures offset each other is determined in the model.
    - In the tail of the default distribution, long exposures default at a higher frequency than the short exposures.